

CONFIDENTIAL

Minutes of the Contract Review Board Meeting

11 June 1969

PRESENT:

[Redacted box]

25X1

1. At the request of Board members, [Redacted] opened the meeting with an informational briefing to the Board regarding the on-going investigation of educational institutions engaged in Agency contracts. This investigation was initiated after the successful completion of a similar review of all contract at [Redacted]

25X1

2. [Redacted] presented several announcements of general Board interest:

a. [Redacted] as the Agency's representative to the Interagency Procurement Policy Committee, plans to attend next week's meeting at GSA.

b. Senator Proxmire's comments regarding a procurement survey.

c. Award Fee contracts, and the growth of fees being paid under them.

d. Documentation of non-adherence to audit recommendations.

e. Audit assistance in Government-Furnished property accounting.

f. ACRB Library.

3. [Redacted] reviewed the Logistics Briefings No. 14 and 15, dealing with incentive contracting.

4. Forthcoming Procurement Note regarding expeditious handling of year-end Board actions will be discussed further at the next meeting, as well as the Procurement Note issued regarding the inspection of contracting teams.

5. The overrun situations were discussed further, as they relate to the ACRB charter.

CONFIDENTIAL

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

6. The DD/S Board member inquired as to the I.G.'s finding regarding the Board. The Chairman stated that within the next week, the I.G. will be returning to the Office of the ACRB.

7. The matter of Industrial Security Regulations was brought up by the DD/P representative, and discussions resulted as to its pending revision.

8. The Board next concerned itself with discussion of the following contract actions:

a. [redacted] The contract negotiator, [redacted] was present to answer any questions arising from consideration of this case. The Board inquired as to whether it was believed that the presence of incentives in the previous contract helped or hindered costs. [redacted] felt they did help to keep the costs down. The question was also posed as to whether this was to be an advancement in the state-of-the art. The answer was negative; however, this was a developmental effort. [redacted] assisted the presentation of this case with a model [redacted] (developed under the preceding contract) and explained some of its integral parts and projected operations for the future. 25X1

b. [redacted] and [redacted] NPIC, were present for this presentation. The Board's attention was given to whether or not a conflict of interest existed in the subcontract relationship with [redacted] and whether [redacted] was in any way connected with the [redacted] Both were answered negatively. 25X1 25X1

c. [redacted] A Docket in the amount of [redacted] utilizing FY 69 funds for the follow-on production of stereo rhomboids was prepared for Board consideration, but was not concluded. Further details will be found in the attached copy of a Memorandum for the Record prepared for the Director of Logistics.

[redacted] 25X1
Chairman
Agency Contract Review Board

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~